UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15TH STREET, N.W., **SUITE 400 EAST**

MAILED JAN 12 ZUIT OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Patent No. 7,815,932

WASHINGTON DC 20005-1503

: DECISION ON REQUEST

Ihara et al.

: FOR

Application Number: 10/524,024

: RECONSIDERATION OF

Issue Date: 10/19/2010

: PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

Filing or 371(c) Date: 02/09/2005

Atty Docket No.

2005 0097A

This is a decision on the petition filed on November 3, 2010, under 37 CFR 1.705(d) requesting that the patent term adjustment indicated on the above-identified patent be revised to indicate that the term of the above-identified patent is extended or adjusted by eight hundred twenty-two (822) days.

The petition to correct the patent term adjustment is **DISMISSED** with respect to making any change in the patent term adjustment determination under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b) of seven hundred sixty-nine (769) days.

As to the "B" delay period, the over three year period begins on February 10, 2008, the day after the date three years after the date the application commenced the national stage pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 371(f), and ends on June 5, 2009, and is 481 days. Any time consumed by appellate review, however, is not included in the calculation of 37 CFR 1.702(b). See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(ii). On April 13, 2009, a notice of appeal was filed. On June 5, 2009, the RCE was filed. Accordingly, the excluded period is the period from the date the notice of appeal was filed until June 4, 2009, the date before the date the RCE was filed, or 53 days. Considering the period excluded for appeals, the "B" delay period is 428 (481 – 53) days.

Patentees disclose that a period of reduction for applicant delay of 4 days should be entered for the filing of a supplemental response on April 23, 2009, four days after the day after the date a response was filed on April 17, 2009. On April 17, 2009, however, a Notice of Appeal was filed, as well as a response. The filing of a notice of appeal stops the clock for applicant delay. Accordingly, the filing of a supplemental response or other paper after the filing of a notice of

appeal is not considered a failure to engage within the meaning of § 1.702(c)(8). Therefore, no reduction is warranted in connection with papers filed on April 23, 2009.

Accordingly, no change will be made to the patent term adjustment of 769 (658 days Office delay + 428 days three years delay – 317 days of applicant delay) days as set forth on the face of the patent issued patent.

The Office acknowledges submission of the \$200.00 fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(e). No additional fees are required.

The Office thanks patentees for their good faith and candor in bringing this to the attention of the Office.

Nothing in this decision shall be construed as a waiver of the requirement of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(4) that any civil action by an applicant dissatisfied with a determination made by the Director under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(3) be filed in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia within 180 days after the grant of the patent.

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.

Douglas I. Wood

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions